| Bezeichnung:                          | Assessment form BA<br>Seminar for degree<br>programmes with 2<br>BA theses | erstellt: | Breinbauer/<br>Pircher | freigegeben:       | Kollegiums-<br>leitung | University of Applied<br>Sciences BFI Vienna |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| Gültig ab:<br>Ersetzt<br>Version vom: | 01.09.2019<br>01.06.2016   | geprüft:  | Ebersberger            | freigegeben<br>am: | 26.08.2019             | Economics<br>Management<br>Finance           |

## ASSESSMENT FORM BACHELOR SEMINAR FOR DEGREE PROGRAMMES WITH TWO BACHELOR THESES

| Title of the paper   |                       |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Name of the student  | Examination<br>number |  |
| Name of the assessor |                       |  |

The final grading of the bachelor seminar consists of the following parts (100 points overall):

- 1. Research Proposal of the Bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)
- 2. Formal criteria of the Bachelor thesis (10 points maximum, with all the minimum criteria to be met)
- 3. Contents of the Bachelor thesis (60 points maximum)
- 4. Continuous assessment of contributions in class (10 points maximum)
- 5. Presentation and defensio of the Bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)

For the third examination (board examination), only the Bachelor thesis is graded.

The bachelor thesis must have been checked electronically and manually (for content) to rule out plagiarism. If this has occurred, the paper is to be graded a fail. It must also be insured that the Bachelor thesis was written by the student himself/herself. Ghost-writing must thus be ruled out. If this has occurred, however, the paper is to be graded a fail as well.

|  |                    | First or second examination | Third examination  |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Research Proposal of the Bachelor thesis           |                    | 10 points maximum           | not relevant       |
| Continuous assessment in the Bachelor seminar      |                    | 10 points maximum           | not relevant       |
| Presentation and defensio of the Bachelor thesis   |                    | 10 points maximum           | not relevant       |
| Formal criteria of the Bachelor thesis (see below) |                    | 10 points maximum           | 14 points maximum  |
| Contents of the Bachelor thesis (see below)        |                    | 60 points maximum           | 86 points maximum  |
| Total points (F9)                                  | 0                  |                             | icient<br>sfactory |
| Grade  | Choose an element. | 76 - 87 points: Goo         |                    |

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

| Bezeichnung:                          | Assessment form BA<br>Seminar for degree<br>programmes with 2<br>BA theses | erstellt: | Breinbauer/<br>Pircher | freigegeben:       | Kollegiums-<br>leitung | University of Applied<br>Sciences BFI Vienna |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| Gültig ab:<br>Ersetzt<br>Version vom: | 01.09.2019<br>01.06.2016   | geprüft:  | Ebersberger            | freigegeben<br>am: | 26.08.2019             | Economica<br>Management<br>Finance           |

| The electronic plagiarism check has resulted in matching results of (Percentage; Please note: Approximately 5-10% are the critical threshold). The critical threshold has been reached: |       | □ No          |
|---|-------|---------------|
| The manual plagiarism check has shown that there is no plagiarism.  | □ Yes | □ No, because |
| During supervision, <b>ghost-writing</b> has not been diagnosed:  | 🗆 Yes | □ No, because |

## 1. Assessment of the formal criteria of the bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)

Please note: For the thesis to be approved, all the following criteria have to be judged with "yes". If all criteria are met, points (10 points maximum) are allocated for HOW WELL the criteria are met.

| Criterium   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| Does the paper contain all the necessary <b>parts</b> (cover, declaration of honour, table of contents, any other lists etc.) and do they meet the formal criteria?   | □ Yes        | □ No, because  |
| Is the paper <b>as long as stipulated</b> ? Does the format meet the criteria (e.g. pagination, paragraph formatting, illustrations etc.)?  | □ Yes        | □ No, because  |
| Is the <b>style</b> of the paper scientific and fluent? Is gender-neutrality maintained?  | □ Yes        | □ No, because  |
| Does the paper meet <b>spelling</b> , grammar and punctuation conventions?  | □ Yes        | □ No, because  |
| Are the <b>quotations</b> rendered adequately (longer<br>parts from single sources, amount of verbatim<br>quotes)? Are the sources treated <b>in keeping with</b><br><b>good academic practice</b> (no flawed rendition of<br>quotes, literature contributions clearly identified<br>etc.?) | □ Yes        | □ No, because  |
| Do <b>short and long literature references and the</b><br><b>references</b> correspond to the norms? (see hand-out<br>by "Haslehner/Springler/Wala, "Bachelor- und<br>Diplomarbeiten an Fachhochschulen', 2nd ed.,<br>Stand: February 2014 ")   | □ Yes        | □ No, because  |
| Assessment: formal criteria of the bachelor thesis  | maximum). Fo | <i>mum (</i> third examination: 14 points<br>r the thesis to be approved, all<br>t be answered with "yes". |

| Bezeichnung:                          | Assessment form BA<br>Seminar for degree<br>programmes with 2<br>BA theses | erstellt: | Breinbauer/<br>Pircher | freigegeben:       | Kollegiums-<br>leitung | University of Applied<br>Sciences BFI Vienna |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| Gültig ab:<br>Ersetzt<br>Version vom: | 01.09.2019<br>01.06.2016   | geprüft:  | Ebersberger            | freigegeben<br>am: | 26.08.2019             | Economics<br>Management<br>Finance           |

| 2. Assessment of the content criteria of the ba              | chelor thesis (60 Points maximum)  |
|--|--|
|  | Comments (Please give the reason if and why                                    |
| Criterium  | points are deducted)   |
| Is the <b>research question</b> clearly formulated? Is the   |  |
| research question delineated properly?                       |  |
| Is the student able to show the topicality and               |  |
| scientific and practical relevance of the paper?             |  |
| Are all <b>concepts</b> related to the topic clear and       |  |
| concise? Are all basic assumptions clearly                   |  |
| indicated? Is there an up-to-date <b>review of</b>           |  |
| literature?  |  |
| Does the student explain the paper's general                 |  |
| structure? Is the <b>structure</b> useful and significant in |  |
| view of the topic?   |  |
| Does the student outline the research methods                |  |
| applied (questionnaire, interviews, case study)?             |  |
| Does he/she proceed consistently and correctly? In           |  |
| which manner was empirical data generated and                |  |
| analysed?  |  |
| Do the student's conclusions evolve logically from           |  |
| the research question? Is a general line of thought          |  |
| discernible?   |  |
| Do the conclusions contain material and passages             |  |
| irrelevant to the paper? Is there redundant and              |  |
| trivial information?   |  |
| How innovative and original is the paper? Is the             |  |
| literature used critically evalated (gaps or                 |  |
| contradictions identified), are original thoughts            |  |
| offered?   |  |
| Are the <b>results</b> presented clearly and without         |  |
| contradictions, and do they match the research               |  |
| questions? Are the research findings placed in a             |  |
| wider context and are further areas of research              |  |
| identified?  |  |
| Is the student's diligence reflected in an appropriate       |  |
| number of literature sources? Are the sources                |  |
| recent and of <b>high quality</b> ?                          |  |
| Assessment of the contents of the bachelor thesis            | Points:<br><i>60 points maximum (</i> third examination: 86 points<br>maximum) |