

# General Guideline for Master Exams at the UAS BFI Vienna

Created by:	Breinbauer
Reviewed by:	Schlattau
Released by/on:	Academic Council, on 28 June 2023
Effective from:	1 September 2023
Replacing the version of:	14 February 2022

## Scope of the guideline

This guideline applies to all master programmes and on-site degree programmes with a Master degree (pursuant to § 9 Universities of Applied Sciences Act) at the UAS BFI Vienna, but not for online degree programmes. If degree programmes are offered in cooperation with other universities, the Academic Council can establish divergent guidelines in consultation with the provider.

## Master Exam

### 1. Contents of the Oral Master Exam

Completing a UAS master programme/on-site degree programme requires a positively evaluated master thesis and a final comprehensive exam (=Master exam) in front of a board of actual examiners. The oral Master exam consists of the following exam parts:

- Presentation of the master thesis
- Oral exam which examines interrelations of the topic of the master thesis with the relevant subjects of the curriculum
- Oral exam on other curriculum-relevant contents

The **pool of potential examiners** (*Prüfungskommission*) for the final exams in the Master programmes consists of all lecturers of the respective master degree programme (or of a corresponding bachelor degree programme) at the UAS BFI Vienna. The **pool of potential examiners** for on-site degree programmes with a Master degree consists of lecturers of the respective on-site degree programme.

The **board of actual examiners** (=Prüfungssenat; actual team of examiners for individual oral final exams) consists of the following people:

- The chair (either the degree programme director/director of the on-site degree programme or a delegated member from the examiners' pool).
- The 1<sup>st</sup> examiner (an expert lecturer on the subject in which the master thesis has been written, and a member of the pool of examiners).
- The 2<sup>nd</sup> examiner (another expert lecturer of the examination pool, and also a member of the pool of examiners)

## 2. Duration and Procedure of the Master Exam

After a brief presentation of the master thesis (max. 10 mins) by the candidate, there will be questions on the master thesis. There are questions on the master thesis and on the methodology used, but also questions on interrelations with other relevant subjects of the curriculum. Additionally, other curriculum-relevant contents of the degree programme can be addressed. Finally, questions can also lead to detailing and describing solutions to research problems.

There can be time for preparation.

The exam lasts up to 60 minutes per candidate. Only one candidate at a time is examined.

The following points need to be considered in conducting the master exam:

- The degree programme director/director of the on-site degree programme informs the students at the start of the final semester about the exam dates, and the grading criteria in a suitable manner.
- In order to specify the exam contents, the candidates are given a required reading list in advance. These exam topics will serve as the basis for the oral exam about interrelations to the master thesis, and other curriculum-relevant subjects. For the exam records, the respective form (in its current version) must be used by all UAS degree programmes.

Further details regarding contents and procedures of the oral exams will be communicated by the individual degree programmes.

## 3. Overall Grading of Master Exams

The weighting of the exam parts (master thesis, presentation of the master thesis, oral exam about interrelations to the master thesis, and the oral exam about other curriculum-relevant contents) needs to be communicated to the students before the master exams (pursuant to § 16 para. 4 Universities of Applied Sciences Act).

Grading the master examination will follow this grading scale (§17 para. 2 Universities of Applied Sciences Act):

- **Pass with distinction** (for outstanding performance; average grade must be equal to/better than 1.5; no weighted grade is worse than 2)
- **Pass with merit** (for a clearly above-average performance; average grade not worse than 2.0; no weighted grade is worse than 2.5)
- **Pass** (for all other pass grades)
- **Fail** (for an insufficient performance in the master exam)

## General Guideline Master Exams

The (overall) grade will be decided on by the board (*Prüfungssenat*) jointly (under the direction of the chair) and immediately after the oral master exam. In this discussion, neither the candidate nor any people listening in on the exam will be present.

These in-house decisions on the final grade will be based on, and informed by, the Austrian school grading system. The grades awarded for the individual exam parts will result in a final overall grade (i.e. pass with distinction, pass with merit, pass, fail).

The exam results need to be given in the **exam records**. In an attachment, the questions asked and the answers graded have to be briefly documented. The result of the oral exam has to be communicated by the board to the student immediately after the grade has been decided on.

The chair is responsible for keeping the exam records and for the joint grading process. The records need to be signed by all members of the board.

## 4. Exam and Resit Opportunities

### Resit of the exam

- If the candidate fails, the exam can be taken again only twice at the most.
- The board master exam is graded a fail if at least one exam part is a fail.
- A resit is always an overall exam, for which there is only one overall grade. If the master results in a fail, the entire exam has to be taken again.
- The resits will be taken in front of the same board if possible.
- If a student fails to show for an exam without a good reason for doing so, this will be considered equivalent to a fail.

If a student does not take the exam on the exam date, the reasons for the no-show need to be communicated in writing to the programme director/director of the on-site degree programme in advance. If the explanation is not satisfactory to the programme director/director of the on-site degree programme, the exam will be automatically considered the equivalent of a fail (§ 13 para. 5 Universities of Applied Sciences Act).

The exam contents are the same as those communicated for the main date with the exception of considerable changes to the curriculum in the context of corresponding transition periods.