

Assessment Form Master Thesis

Name of the student:

First name

SURNAME

Student registration no.

Name of the supervisor:

First name

SURNAME

Title of the thesis:

Total points scored: out of 100

Grading:

≤50,0 points – fail

>50,0 points – 62,5 points Sufficient

>62,5 points – 75,0 points Satisfactory

>75,0 points – 87,5 points Good

>87,5 points – 100,0 points Very good

Grade: Please choose an element

Date: _____ Signature of the supervisor: _____

Criteria for approvability of the master thesis

If at least one knock-out criterion applies the thesis cannot be approved.

Authenticity

<input type="checkbox"/> The authenticity of the thesis could be established.	
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
<input type="checkbox"/> There are indications of ghost-writing including undocumented use of artificial intelligence.	
<input type="checkbox"/> A detailed plagiarism check in terms of formal and content criteria has been made. There is proof of plagiarism.	
<input type="checkbox"/> There is another violation of good academic practice according to ÖAWI guidelines.	
<input type="checkbox"/> References used are not accessible.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not clear which parts were copied directly or indirectly.	

Formal aspects

<input type="checkbox"/> The thesis has no formal deficiencies.	
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
<input type="checkbox"/> The scope does not meet the requirements.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Required components are missing.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grammar, spelling, style, structure, and presentation do not meet the minimal requirements of academic writing.	

Ethical aspects

<input type="checkbox"/> The thesis meets ethical requirements.	
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
<input type="checkbox"/> The aspects of data protection and third-party rights have not been considered.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The guidelines for gender and diversity sensitive language and image use were not followed.	

Assessment criteria

This section must be completed if the thesis is approvable (no knock-out criterion).

1. Formal aspects (15 points max.):

points

Please justify any deduction of points

1.1 Language use and presentation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Spelling and syntactic rules in the chosen language are adhered to.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Structure, outline, layout, and visualisation are appropriate.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Figures and tables are used judiciously and referenced in the body of the text.	

1.2 Sources, citation, and bibliography	
<input type="checkbox"/> The thesis is fundamentally based on primary scientific literature.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The relevant terms are defined and either documented with a source or clearly marked as self-definition.	

<input type="checkbox"/> The selection of sources is suitable for embedding the argumentation of the thesis in the current discussion or for checking its validity and completeness.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The citation style conforms to recognised citation guidelines and is used throughout.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The bibliography is complete and contains only the sources cited in the text.	

2. Structure and content (45 points max.): points

Please justify any deduction of points

2.1 Aim and research question	
<input type="checkbox"/> Research question and aim of the thesis are clearly delineated.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The choice of research question is presented in a comprehensible manner.	

2.2 Relevance and level of innovation	
<input type="checkbox"/> The topic is of high scientific and/or practical relevance while avoiding trivialities.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The topic is highly innovative.	

2.3 Argument, „common theme“	
<input type="checkbox"/> The arguments are presented coherently and free of false arguments and redundancies according to the rules of scientific rigour.	

2.4 Research status	
<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant theoretical principles are presented concisely and correctly.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The current state of research regarding the objective of the thesis is presented concisely.	

2.5 Interpretation of the results, conclusion & outlook	
<input type="checkbox"/> Regarding the thesis objective, established and acquired knowledge is brought together.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Assumptions and limitations of the thesis are presented.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Objectives and results are concisely formulated in a summary and relevant derivations and, where appropriate, recommendations for action are presented.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The research question(s) has (have) been answered. An outlook on further research has been given.	

3. Methodology (30 points max.): points

3.1 Methodology, presentation & evaluation of the results	
<input type="checkbox"/> The methodology is presented transparently, its selection appropriately justified, critically reflected, and correctly conducted according to the standards of the discipline and suitable to answer the research question.	
<input type="checkbox"/> The logical conclusions from the data analysis are presented in a comprehensible manner.	

4. Level of independent work during supervision phase (10 points max.): points
(Level of own academic achievement with regard to the supervision effort)

Notes:

Assessment overview:

	Points achieved	Maximum points
Formal aspects		15
Structure and content		45
Methodology		30
Independent work during supervision phase		10
Total points:		100