#### Assessment Form Bachelor Seminar



### Assessment Form Bachelor Seminar

Title of the bachelor thesis	
Name of the student	tempt No.
Name of the supervisor	
Degree programme	

The final grading of the bachelor seminar consists of the following parts (100 points overall):

- 1. Research Proposal of the bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)
- 2. Assessment criteria for the approvability of the bachelor thesis (if at least one knock-out criterion applies, the thesis is not approvable).
- 3. Formal criteria of the written bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)
- 4. Assessment of structure, content, methods and independence of performance during the supervision phase in the bachelor seminar (60 points maximum)
- 5. Participation and performance in the bachelor seminar (10 points maximum)
- 6. Presentation and defence of the Bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)

At the third submission (board examination), only the written bachelor thesis is assessed.

The bachelor thesis must have been checked electronically as well as for content to rule out plagiarism. If plagiarism has occurred, the paper is to be assessed negatively. It must also be insured that the bachelor thesis was written by the student (ghostwriting must be ruled out). If ghostwriting has occurred, the paper is to be assessed negatively.

1st or 2nd attempt 3rd attempt  10 points maximum not relevant  10 points maximum not relevant
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 points maximum not relevant
10 points maximum not relevant
10 points maximum 15 points maximum
60 points maximum 85 points maximum
<b>0</b> >50.0 points – 62.5 points: sufficient
>62.5 points – 75.0 points: satisfactory >75.0 points – 87.5 points: good >87.5 points – 100.0 points: very good

Date:	Signature:
	9

erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023 geprüft: Schlattau Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023



### Assessment Form Bachelor Seminar

# Criteria for approvability of the master thesis If at least one knock-out criterion applies the thesis cannot be approved.

### **Authenticity**

☐ The authenticity of the thesis could be established.		
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).	
☐ There are indications of ghostwriting including undocumented use of artificial intelligence.		
☐ A detailed plagiarism check in terms of formal and content criteria has been made. There is proof of plagiarism.		
☐ There is another violation of good scientific practice according to OeAWI guidelines.		
☐ References used are not accessible.		
☐ It is not clear which parts were copied directly or indirectly.		
Formal aspects		
☐ The thesis has no formal deficient	cies.	
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).	
☐ The scope does not meet the requirements.		
☐ Required components are missing.		
☐ Grammar, spelling, style, structure, and presentation do not meet the minimal requirements of academic writing.		

Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023

erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023



## Assessment Form Bachelor Seminar **Fthical aspects**

Etinoai aspects	
☐ The thesis meets ethical requirem	nents.
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
☐ The aspects of data protection and third-party rights have not been considered.	
☐ The guidelines for gender and diversity sensitive language and image use were not followed.	
Assessment criteria	
This section must be completed if the thes	is is approvable (no knock-out criterion).
1. Formal aspects (10 points max.,	15 points max. at 3 <sup>rd</sup> attempt):
Points:	p,
	Please justify any deduction of points
1.1 Language use and presentation	
☐ Spelling and syntactic rules in the chosen language are adhered to.	
☐ Structure, outline, layout, and visualisation are appropriate.	
☐ Figures and tables are used judiciously and referenced in the body of the text.	
	,
1.2 Sources, citation, and bibliography	
☐ The thesis is fundamentally based on primary scientific literature.	
☐ The relevant terms are defined and either documented with a source or	

Seite 3 von 5

geprüft: Schlattau

erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023

clearly marked as self-definition.

☐ The selection of sources is suitable for embedding the argumentation of the thesis in the current discussion or for checking its validity and completeness.

Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023



Assessment Form Bachelor Semina	r
☐ The citation style conforms to recognised citation guidelines and is used throughout.	
☐ The bibliography is complete and contains only the sources cited in the text.	
	nd independence of performance during the or seminar (60 points max., 85 points max. at the
Points:	
	Please justify any deduction of points
2.1 Aim and research question	
☐ Research question and aim of the thesis are clearly delineated.	
☐ The choice of research question is presented in a comprehensible manner.	
2.2 Relevance and level of innovation	
☐ The topic is of high scientific and/or practical relevance while avoiding trivialities.	
☐ The topic is highly innovative and to a degree that fits bachelor theses.	
2.3 Argument, "common theme"	
☐ The arguments are presented coherently and free of false arguments and redundancies according to the rules of scientific rigour.	
2.4 Research status	
☐ Relevant theoretical principles are presented concisely and correctly.	

Seite **4** von **5** geprüft: Schlattau

erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023 Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023



Assessment Form Bachelor Semina	ır	Fillens
☐ The current state of research regarding the objective of the thesis is presented concisely.		
2.5 Interpretation of the results, conclusion & outlook		
☐ Regarding the thesis objective, established and acquired knowledge is brought together.		
☐ Assumptions and limitations of the thesis are presented.		
☐ Objectives and results are concisely formulated in a summary and relevant derivations and, where appropriate, recommendations for action are presented.		
☐ The research question(s) has (have) been answered. An outlook on further research has been given.		
2.6 Methodology, presentation & evaluation of the results		
☐ The methodology is presented transparently, its selection appropriately justified, critically reflected, and correctly conducted according to the standards of the discipline and suitable to answer the research question.		
☐ The logical conclusions from the data analysis are presented in a comprehensible manner.		
2.7 Level of independent work during sup	pervision phase	

Seite **5** von **5** geprüft: Schlattau