

Assessment Form Bachelor Seminar (EWUF)

Title of the bachelor thesis		
Name of the student	Attempt No.	
Name of the supervisor		
Degree programme		

The final grading of the bachelor seminar consists of the following parts (100 points overall):

- 1. Research Proposal of the bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)
- 2. Written participation and performance (15 points maximum)
- 3. Assessment criteria for the approvability of the bachelor thesis (if at least one knock-out criterion applies, the thesis is not approvable).
- 4. Formal criteria of the written bachelor thesis (5 points maximum)
- 5. Assessment of structure, content, methods and independence of performance during the supervision phase in the bachelor seminar (50 points maximum)
- 6. Participation and performance in the bachelor seminar (10 points maximum)
- 7. Presentation and defence of the Bachelor thesis (10 points maximum)

At the third submission (board examination), only the written bachelor thesis is assessed.

The bachelor thesis must have been checked electronically as well as for content to rule out plagiarism. If plagiarism has occurred, the paper is to be assessed negatively. It must also be insured that the bachelor thesis was written by the student (ghostwriting must be ruled out). If ghostwriting has occurred, the paper is to be assessed negatively.

Please note: Grading aspects from the bachelor seminar marked with * are to be made in part or in full in the first part (1st semester) of the bachelor thesis to be written over two semesters.

•			
		1st or 2nd attempt	3rd attempt
Research proposal*		10 points maximum	not relevant
Written participation and performance*		15 points maximum	not relevant
Participation and performance in the seminar*		10 points maximum	not relevant
Presentation and defence of the thesis		10 points maximum	not relevant
Formal criteria of the BA thesis (see below)		5 points maximum	10 points maximum
Structure, content, methods, independence of performance throughout the bachelor seminar (see below)		50 points maximum	90 points maximum
Total points (F9)	0	>50.0 points – 62.5 points: sufficient >62.5 points – 75.0 points: satisfactory >75.0 points – 87.5 points: good >87.5 points – 100.0 points: very good	
Grade	Please choose an element		

erstellt: Breinbauer: geprüft: Schlattau gültig ab: 01.09.2023



Criteria for approvability of the master thesisIf at least one knock-out criterion applies the thesis cannot be approved.

Authenticity

☐ The authenticity of the thesis could be established.	
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
☐ There are indications of ghostwriting including undocumented use of artificial intelligence.	
☐ A detailed plagiarism check in terms of formal and content criteria has been made. There is proof of plagiarism.	
☐ There is another violation of good scientific practice according to OeAWI guidelines.	
☐ References used are not accessible.	
☐ It is not clear which parts were copied directly or indirectly.	
Formal aspects	
\square The thesis has no formal deficient	cies.
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
☐ The scope does not meet the requirements.	
☐ Required components are missing.	
☐ Grammar, spelling, style, structure, and presentation do not meet the minimal requirements of academic	



Ethical aspects

☐ The thesis meets ethical requirements.		
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).	
☐ The aspects of data protection and third-party rights have not been considered.		
☐ The guidelines for gender and diversity sensitive language and image use were not followed.		
Assessment criteria		

This section must be completed if the thesis is approvable (no knock-out criterion).

1. Formal aspects (10 points max., 10 points max. at 3rd attempt):

Points:	
	Please justify any deduction of points
1.1 Language use and presentation	, , ,
☐ Spelling and syntactic rules in the chosen language are adhered to.	
☐ Structure, outline, layout, and visualisation are appropriate.	
☐ Figures and tables are used judiciously and referenced in the body of the text.	
1.2 Sources, citation, and bibliography	
☐ The thesis is fundamentally based on primary scientific literature.	
☐ The relevant terms are defined and either documented with a source or clearly marked as self-definition.	
☐ The selection of sources is suitable for embedding the argumentation of the thesis in the current discussion or for checking its validity and completeness.	

Seite 3 von 5

geprüft: Schlattau Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023 erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023

	(
	Fachhochschule des BFI Wien Wirtschaft Management Finance
☐ The citation style conforms to recognised citation guidelines and is used throughout.	
☐ The bibliography is complete and contains only the sources cited in the text.	
	nd independence of performance during the or seminar (60 points max., 90 points max. at the
· ome	Please justify any deduction of points
2.1 Aim and research question	r rouse justify any assument or points
☐ Research question and aim of the thesis are clearly delineated.	
☐ The choice of research question is presented in a comprehensible manner.	
2.2 Relevance and level of innovation	
☐ The topic is of high scientific and/or practical relevance while avoiding trivialities.	
☐ The topic is highly innovative and to a degree that fits bachelor theses.	
2.3 Argument, "common theme"	
☐ The arguments are presented coherently and free of false arguments and redundancies according to the rules of scientific rigour.	

Seite 4 von 5

erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023

2.4 Research status

geprüft: Schlattau Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023

☐ Relevant theoretical principles are presented concisely and correctly.	
☐ The current state of research regarding the objective of the thesis is presented concisely.	
2.5 Interpretation of the results, conclusion & outlook	
☐ Regarding the thesis objective, established and acquired knowledge is brought together.	
☐ Assumptions and limitations of the thesis are presented.	
Objectives and results are concisely formulated in a summary and relevant derivations and, where appropriate, recommendations for action are presented.	
☐ The research question(s) has (have) been answered. An outlook on further research has been given.	
2.6 Methodology, presentation & evaluation of the results	
☐ The methodology is presented transparently, its selection appropriately justified, critically reflected, and correctly conducted according to the standards of the discipline and suitable to answer the research question.	
☐ The logical conclusions from the data analysis are presented in a comprehensible manner.	
2.7 Level of independent work during sup	ervision phase

Seite 5 von 5

geprüft: Schlattau Freigabe Kollegium: 28.06.2023 erstellt: Breinbauer: gültig ab: 01.09.2023