

## **Assessment Form Bachelor Seminar 2**

Title of the bachelor thesis		
Name of the student		Attempt No.
Name of the supervisor		
Degree programme		
	1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> attempt	3 <sup>rd</sup> attempt
Form of the bachelor thesis (Assessment see below)	5 points maximum	7 points maximum
Content and structure of the bachelor thesis (Assessment see below)	55 points maximum	73 points maximum
The independence of the academic achievement (e.g. progress report, reflexion report)	20 points maximum	20 points maximum
Presentation and defence	20 points maximum	Not relevant
Total points  Grade	>50,0 - 62,5 points: sufficient >62,5 - 75,0 points: satisfactory >75,0 - 87,5 points: good >87,5 - 100,0 points: very good	
☐ The bachelor thesis cannot be approved. (	knock-out criterion, (assess	ment see below))
Date		

Author: Breinbauer: Approved: Schlattau Approved Faculty: 25.06.2025

Effective from: 01.09.2025

**Signature** 



# 1. Assessment of approvability of the bachelor thesis If at least one knock-out criterion applies the thesis cannot be approved.

### **Authenticity of the bachelor thesis**

$\square$ The authenticity of the thesis coul	d be established.
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
☐ The independence of the academic achievement could not be verified by the student in feedback loops/personal discussions.	
☐ There are indications of ghostwriting including undocumented use of artificial intelligence.	
☐ A detailed plagiarism check in terms of formal and content criteria has been made. There is proof of plagiarism.	
☐ There is another violation of good scientific practice according to OeAWI guidelines.	
☐ References used are not accessible.	
☐ It is not clear which parts were copied directly or indirectly.	
Formal aspects	
☐ The thesis has no formal deficient	cies.
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).
☐ The scope does not meet the requirements.	
☐ Required components are missing.	
☐ Grammar, spelling, style, structure, and presentation do not meet the minimal requirements of academic writing.	

Approved: Schlattau Approved Faculty: 25.06.2025 Author: Breinbauer:

Effective from: 01.09.2025



#### **Ethical aspects**

☐ The thesis meets ethical requirements.		
If any of the following knock-out criteria apply, please tick!	Please justify your knock-out choice(s).	
☐ The aspects of data protection and third-party rights have not been considered.		
☐ The guidelines for gender and diversity sensitive language and image use were not followed.		

#### 2. Assessment of form of the bachelor thesis

(5 points max., 7 points max. at 3<sup>rd</sup> attempt):

☐ The thesis is fundamentally based on primary scientific literature.	
☐ The relevant terms are defined and either documented with a source or clearly marked as self-definition.	
☐ The selection of sources is suitable for embedding the argumentation of the thesis in the current discussion or for checking its validity and completeness.	

Author: Breinbauer: Approved: Schlattau Approved Faculty: 25.06.2025

Effective from: 01.09.2025

Sources, citation, and bibliography



☐ The citation style conforms to	
recognised citation guidelines and is	
used throughout.	
☐ The bibliography is complete and	
contains only the sources cited in the	
text.	
3 Assessment of content a	and structure of the bachelor thesis
(55 points max., 73 points max	ax. at the 3° attempt)
	Please justify any deduction of points
Aim and research question	
☐ Research question and aim of the	
thesis are clearly delineated.	
☐ The choice of research question is	
presented in a comprehensible manner.	
Relevance and level of innovation	
Relevance and level of innovation	
☐ The topic is of high scientific and/or	
practical relevance while avoiding	
trivialities.	
tiivianties.	
☐ The topic is highly innovative and to a	
degree that fits bachelor theses.	
degree that his bashelor theses.	
	,
Argument, "common theme"	
☐ The arguments are presented	
coherently and free of false arguments	
and redundancies according to the rules	
of scientific rigour.	
Γ <del></del>	
Research status	
☐ Relevant theoretical principles are	
presented concisely and correctly.	

Author: Breinbauer: Approved: Schlattau Approved Faculty: 25.06.2025

Effective from: 01.09.2025



☐ The current state of research regarding the objective of the thesis is presented concisely.	
Interpretation of the results, conclusion & outlook	
☐ Regarding the thesis objective, established and acquired knowledge is brought together.	
☐ Assumptions and limitations of the thesis are presented.	
☐ Objectives and results are concisely formulated in a summary and relevant derivations and, where appropriate, recommendations for action are presented.	
☐ The research question(s) has (have) been answered. An outlook on further research has been given.	
Methodology, presentation & evaluation of the results	
☐ The methodology is presented transparently, its selection appropriately justified, critically reflected, and correctly conducted according to the standards of the discipline and suitable to answer the research question.	
☐ The logical conclusions from the data analysis are presented in a comprehensible manner.	

Author: Breinbauer: Approved: Schlattau Approved Faculty: 25.06.2025

Effective from: 01.09.2025